Co-funded by the European Union

Ergo Art

2023-1-SI01-KA220-HED-000166987
(Application form ID: KA220-HED-EF096731)

CONTENT AREA NO 4A
INCLUSIVE & UNIVERSAL DESIGN

Project Title
Ergonomic workplace design for workers with disabilities and their long-term employment

Project Acronym: **ERGOART**

August 2025
All ErgoArt partners

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Co-funded by the European Union

Ergo Art

Lesson information

Description of content area

This module explores the principles and practices of inclusive and universal design in the context of work environments. Emphasizing a human-centered and function-oriented perspective, it introduces students to the concepts of equitable, accessible, and intuitive design that benefits a wide range of users, including those with physical, sensory, cognitive, or age-related limitations. The course covers the foundational Seven Principles of Universal Design (7PU)—such as equitable use, flexibility, simplicity, and tolerance for error—and their practical application through task-based exercises and case studies. Students will examine key distinctions between universal design, inclusive design, and assistive technologies, learning how these approaches intersect and differ in supporting user independence, safety, and participation. The module highlights cutting-edge developments, including dynamic differentiation, smart environments, wearable assistive devices, and personalized adaptations powered by AI and machine learning. Special attention is given to global disparities in access, the ethical dimension of design, and the integration of assistive products in physical, digital, and organizational spaces. By the end of the module, students will be able to critically assess design solutions and co-create inclusive interventions that address diverse functional needs across varied user profiles.

Learning outcomes

- Attitudes:
    - Demonstrates a commitment to designing inclusive, accessible, and dignified work environments that respect human diversity and promote full participation.
    - Appreciates the role of universal design and assistive technologies in enhancing autonomy, equity, and social integration.
    - Values empathy, user involvement, and ethical responsibility in designing for individuals with diverse functional needs.
- Knowledge and Understanding:
    - Is able to distinguish between inclusive design, universal design, design for all, and assistive technologies, and understands how they interrelate.
    - Has knowledge of the classification, purpose, and examples of work aids and assistive technologies (e.g., mobility aids, communication devices, adaptive software).
    - Recognizes the role of assistive and compensatory technologies in supporting physical, sensory, and cognitive functions.
    - Is aware of the concept of dynamic differentiation and the need to address user variability over time.
    - Understands global disparities in access to assistive technologies and knows strategies and mechanisms (e.g., reasonable accommodation) to reduce exclusion.

Terms

Accessibility – the extent to which environments, systems, services, products, and facilities can be approached, entered, understood, and used by people with a wide range of physical, sensory, cognitive, and cultural characteristics, without requiring adaptation.

Adaptive Equipment – tools or devices that are specifically modified or designed to support individuals in performing daily or work-related tasks that might be difficult due to functional limitations.

Assistive and Compensatory Technologies – devices, products, or systems (mechanical, digital, or hybrid) used to support or compensate for limitations in vision, hearing, mobility, cognition, or communication. These include communication boards, mobility aids, screen readers, prostheses, and control units.

Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) – communication strategies and technologies that support or replace spoken or written communication for individuals with speech or language impairments.

Environmental Control Unit (ECU) – a type of assistive technology that enables people with physical disabilities to independently control elements of their environment (e.g., lighting, doors, appliances) using alternative input methods.

Eye-Tracking Device – a technology that allows users to interact with digital systems using eye movement; commonly used by individuals with severe motor impairments for communication or control.

Human-Centred Design (HCD) – a design approach that focuses on understanding the needs, limitations, and experiences of users to create solutions that are usable, meaningful, and empowering. It integrates knowledge from ergonomics and usability engineering.

Inclusive Design – a design methodology that embraces human diversity by considering ability, language, culture, age, and gender in the design process to ensure usability and meaningful participation for as many users as possible.

Independent Living – the right and ability of individuals with disabilities to live autonomously, make choices, and participate in all aspects of life, supported by accessible infrastructure and appropriate technologies.

Induction Loop System – an assistive listening technology that uses electromagnetic fields to transmit sound directly to hearing aids with telecoils, improving speech clarity in noisy environments.

Sip-and-Puff Switch – an input device used by individuals with severe mobility impairments; it translates air pressure from sips or puffs into commands for assistive devices or computers.

Smart Assistive Technology – assistive systems enhanced with artificial intelligence or machine learning that adapt to user needs, preferences, and context to provide personalized, real-time support.

Usability – the extent to which a system, product, or environment can be used by specified users to achieve defined goals with effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction in a given context of use.

Work Aid – a device, tool, or workplace adaptation designed to support individuals—particularly those with physical, cognitive, or sensory limitations—in safely and efficiently performing job-related tasks.

Necessary or additional reading

Butlewski, M. (2019, June). Well-Being Through Design for Dynamic Diversity: The Voice of Minorities in Design. In Advances in Social and Occupational Ergonomics: Proceedings of the AHFE 2019 International Conference on Social and Occupational Ergonomics, July 24-28, 2019, Washington DC, USA (Vol. 970, p. 49). Springer.

United Nations. (2006). Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD). Retrieved from https://www.un.org/disabilities/documents/convention/convoptprot-e.pdf

Questions for discussion and/or self-assessment

1. What distinguishes Universal Design from assistive or compensatory technologies? In what situations is each approach more appropriate?
2. How do the Seven Principles of Universal Design (7PU) apply to workplace environments? Can you give examples for each principle?
3. In what ways can a poorly applied concept of Universal Design unintentionally exclude or stigmatize certain users?
4. What is meant by “Design for Dynamic Differentiation”? How does this concept challenge traditional approaches to disability?
5. How can the mechanism of reasonable accommodation (MRU) complement universal solutions when individual needs cannot be met by standard design?
6. What are the ethical responsibilities of designers and employers when implementing inclusive solutions in the workplace?
7. How does involving users with disabilities in the design process improve the quality and acceptance of inclusive environments or products?
8. What are the limitations of relying solely on regulations or technical standards for achieving accessibility and inclusion?
9. How can transgenerational and adaptable design approaches benefit both younger and older users in shared environments?
10. Which inclusive or assistive solutions have you observed in your own environment? What groups do they serve well, and who might still be excluded?

Introduction

Creating inclusive and accessible work environments is not only a technical task—it is a societal imperative rooted in human rights, ergonomics, and thoughtful design. Inclusive design and assistive technologies play a central role in ensuring that people with diverse physical, sensory, or cognitive characteristics can participate fully in work and everyday life. Whether through universally accessible spaces or individualized support tools, these approaches aim to remove barriers and foster autonomy, dignity, and equal opportunity.

Universal Design—when guided by the Seven Principles (7PU)—strives to make environments, products, and systems usable for as many people as possible without the need for subsequent adaptation. When general solutions are not sufficient, assistive and compensatory technologies offer tailored support that enables individuals to engage in tasks that would otherwise be inaccessible. Designing for diversity means recognizing that human abilities are not fixed. The concept of dynamic differentiation reminds us that functional capacity varies over time and context. In response, inclusive systems must be adaptable—not only to individual users, but to the changing nature of work, technology, and society itself. Despite advancements, millions of people around the world still lack access to essential assistive products. Bridging this gap requires integrated strategies that include equitable policy, user-centered design, and sustainable implementation.

This module introduces students to key concepts and tools for building inclusive workplaces: from universal design frameworks and task-based ergonomics to smart assistive devices and the principle of reasonable accommodation (MRU). The goal is not only to understand technologies, but to apply design thinking that transforms systems and culture alike.

1. Inclusive & Universal Design

1.1. Introduction to Inclusive & Universal Design

Inclusive Design and Universal Design are forward-thinking approaches aimed at creating environments, products, and systems that are accessible and usable by as many people as possible—regardless of age, ability, or background. Rather than designing for an “average” user or focusing solely on legal compliance, these approaches promote flexibility, diversity, and user participation in the design process.

For employers and those shaping the workplace environment, these principles offer clear benefits. By removing barriers and anticipating a wide range of needs, organizations can create more welcoming, productive, and equitable workspaces. This not only supports employee well-being and engagement but also enhances innovation, talent retention, and organizational resilience in the face of demographic and technological change.

Inclusive and universal design are not just about accommodating people with disabilities—they represent a broader commitment to human-centered thinking. Applying these ideas in the workplace means designing systems, spaces, and tools that adapt to people, rather than expecting people to adapt to them. In doing so, organizations build more inclusive cultures and create environments where everyone can contribute and thrive.

1.2. The Seven Principles of Universal Design

The classic Seven Principles of Universal Design provide a practical and widely applicable framework not only for designers, but also for employers, workplace planners, and those shaping everyday environments. Originally developed by a team at North Carolina State University, these principles help guide the creation of physical and digital spaces that are usable, inclusive, and adaptable to diverse user needs.

Each principle offers a way to assess and improve the accessibility of environments, products, and systems—making them more functional and welcoming for people with varying abilities and life circumstances. The framework is supported by real-world examples and practical exercises that address different functional domains, such as vision, hearing, mobility, and cognition, as outlined in the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). By applying these principles, organizations and communities can move beyond minimum compliance and toward more thoughtful, human-centered design. This not only benefits individuals with disabilities but also creates more responsive, flexible, and sustainable environments for all. These seven principles are:

1. Equitable Use
   Design should be useful and marketable to people with diverse abilities. This includes providing equivalent means of use and avoiding stigmatization or segregation.

2. Flexibility in Use
   Designs should accommodate a wide range of preferences and abilities. This includes ambidextrous use, adaptability to pace, and options for customization.

3. Simple and Intuitive Use
   The design must be easy to understand regardless of the user’s experience, knowledge, language skills, or concentration level.

4. Perceptible Information
   The design should communicate necessary information effectively to the user, regardless of sensory abilities. Redundancy in visual, tactile, and auditory forms is encouraged.

5. Tolerance for Error
   Designs should minimize risks and the consequences of accidental actions. This includes hazard warnings, intuitive controls, and error-resilient interfaces.

6. Low Physical Effort
   The design should be usable efficiently and comfortably with a minimum of fatigue. Neutral body positions and reduced repetitive actions are key.

7. Size and Space for Approach and Use
   Appropriate size and space should be provided for use regardless of body size, posture, or mobility aid. This includes adequate line-of-sight and operability from a seated or standing position.

These principles serve not only as design checklists but also as ethical commitments to fairness, autonomy, and inclusion. However, they should be applied dynamically, recognizing that users' needs and capacities change over time.

1.3. Beyond the Seven Principles and New Directions in Inclusive Design Approaches

While the Seven Principles of Universal Design have laid an essential foundation, they are not without limitations. In some cases, their application may lead to oversimplification or fail to address evolving human needs. To address these gaps, researchers and practitioners have proposed *expanded design codes*—new principles that better reflect contemporary challenges, particularly those related to aging, cognitive diversity, and emotional well-being.

Among the additional principles proposed in the *Design Code by (Butlewski 2018)* are:

- Dignity – Design must respect the user's sense of identity and avoid unintended stigmatization.
- Optimal Compensation – Solutions should compensate for reduced capabilities without causing over-reliance or disuse of residual abilities.
- Independence – Environments should support self-sufficiency in essential life functions.
- Participation – Designs should encourage active social and family participation.
- Universal Aesthetics – Products should be both functional and visually appealing to avoid the "medicalization" of design.
- Error and Aging Resilience – Systems should anticipate the effects of aging (e.g., balance loss, cognitive changes) and tolerate user error.

These principles align with the Design for Dynamic Differentiation model, which recognizes that user capabilities are not fixed but fluctuate across time and context. Rather than labeling people as "disabled" or "able-bodied," this model views functional capacity as a continuum. Good design should accommodate this variability, offering solutions that are empathetic, customizable, and participatory. In this sense, inclusive design becomes not only a technical challenge but a cultural, ethical, and social endeavor. It requires moving beyond **static standards to embrace co-design, emotional intelligence, and respect for lived experience.**

The field of accessible design encompasses several overlapping but distinct paradigms, each shaped by different cultural, political, and methodological traditions. While Universal Design (UD) serves as an umbrella term, there is increasing recognition that no single design philosophy suffices to address the full spectrum of human diversity. As highlighted by Persson et al. (2014), the ambiguity of the term "accessibility" itself reflects broader tensions between normative design ideals and practical implementation. It is worth of mentioning other related concepts:

- Barrier-Free Design one of the earliest approaches, emerged in the 1950s in response to the needs of war veterans and individuals with mobility impairments. It focuses primarily on removing physical obstacles from built environments. While effective in addressing gross structural barriers, it tends to prioritize mobility impairments and may overlook cognitive or sensory limitations
- Accessible Design emphasizes the extension of conventional design to accommodate persons with disabilities through adaptation or assistive technologies. Defined in ISO Guide 71, it seeks to widen the usability of products without necessarily achieving complete universality. This approach often supports modification rather than integration, which can sometimes reinforce segregation.

- Design for All (DfA), widely promoted in Europe, is a holistic approach that champions human diversity, social inclusion, and equal participation. As per the EIDD Stockholm Declaration, DfA is grounded in ethical and democratic values and calls for systemic inclusion throughout the entire design process—from planning to implementation. It views design as a means of supporting not only functionality but also sustainability and cultural participation.
- Universal Access and User-Sensitive Inclusive Design particularly prominent in human-computer interaction (HCI), emphasizes a proactive application of UD principles in technology development. In contrast, User-Sensitive Inclusive Design (USID) expands on user-centered design by incorporating nuanced user characteristics—particularly cognitive, communicative, or age-related variability—into the development process. This approach aligns closely with the notion of Design for Dynamic Differentiation, which accounts for changing user capabilities over time and across contexts.
- Participatory and Cooperative Design directly involves users—including those with disabilities—throughout the design process. It values the lived experience of users as essential design input, promoting empowerment and co-creation. This method helps surface needs that standardized approaches may overlook.

These diverse approaches demonstrate that accessible design is not a fixed or singular concept, but rather a constellation of strategies responding to contextual, cultural, and functional needs. Embracing this plurality encourages designers to move beyond compliance and toward a richer, more inclusive vision of human-centered environments.

References

[1] Butlewski, M. (2019, June). Well-Being Through Design for Dynamic Diversity: The Voice of Minorities in Design. In Advances in Social and Occupational Ergonomics: Proceedings of the AHFE 2019 International Conference on Social and Occupational Ergonomics, July 24-28, 2019, Washington DC, USA (Vol. 970, p. 49). Springer.

[2] United Nations. (2006). Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD). Retrieved from https://www.un.org/disabilities/documents/convention/convoptprot-e.pdf

[3] Keates, S., Clarkson, P. J., Harrison, L. A., & Robinson, P. (2000). Towards a practical inclusive design approach. In Proceedings on the 2000 conference on Universal Usability (pp. 45-52).

[4] Newell, A. (2003). Inclusive design or assistive technology [in] Inclusive design - Design for the whole population (pp. 172-181). London: Springer London.

[5] Persson, H., Åhman, H., Yngling, A. A., & Gulliksen, J. (2015). Universal design, inclusive design, accessible design, design for all: different concepts—one goal? On the concept of accessibility—historical, methodological and philosophical aspects. Universal access in the information society, 14(4), 505-526.